139

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. No. 2094 OF 2003

Petitioner : Rakesh Kumar Saxsena, S/o Shri Kamal Kishre Saxsena, Aged about 37 years, Occupation-Nil (unemployed), R/o Sahu Bhawan, Kasdol, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, General Administration Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Director, Public Instrctions, Raipur (C.G.).

Present: Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Prashant Mishra, Additional Advocate General for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

Dr. Alok Shukla, Secretary, Education Department, Raipur and Shri A.B. Dubey, Director, Public Instructions Department, Raipur are present in person in the Court, they ensure and assure that all the officers of the Education Department have been directed to file reply/return in all the pending cases of the education department expeditiously.

In view of the above, presence of the Secretary as well as the Director, Public Instructions are dispensed with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondents have complied with the order dated 21.12.2000 passed by the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Raipur in O.A. No. 454/1998 as well as the order dated 9.5.2002 passed by the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Raipur in M.A. No. 13/2002.

The petitioner has been appointed on compassionate basis on the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-III. In view of afore-stated facts, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

At this stage, the petitioner prays for liberty to make a representation for incidental relief before appropriate forum/authority. Liberty is granted to the petitioner, as stated above.

For the reasons stated above, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu